

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/612,810	07/10/2000		Anthony S. Bradley	BIT-12	8996	
7	590	10/21/2002				
John E. Vick,			EXAMINER			
Dority & Manning, P.A. Suite 15				ADDIE, RAYMOND W		
700 East North Greenville, SC			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		PAPER NUMBER	
Greenvine, 50	2,001			3671		
				DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		\mathcal{L}
Advisory Action	09/612,810	BRADLEY, ANTHON	NY S.	<i>(V)</i>
Advisory Monon	Examiner	Art Unit		τ
	Raymond W. Addie	3671		·
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orresp ndence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date		in the Frank rain sting with		4a lm
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate exter opriate exte Office action	nsion nsion n; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 September 2002. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	within the period se f the appeal.	t forth in	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying 1	ihe
(d) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s): <u>Claims 44, 73-75 have beer</u>	<u>cancelled</u> .		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendme	ent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	Γ place th	е
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	⊠ will be entered a w or appended.	ınd an	•
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-6, 8, 11, 13-35, 37-42, 45-72, 76	<u>, 77</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. Other:				
				

Application/Control Number: 09/612,810

Art Unit: 3671

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/30/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues against the rejections of the Last Office Action by stating:
"that a person of ordinary skill in this field would (not) combine the teachings of the
references in the manner being asserted by the Office".

However, Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., physical dimensions of the device) are not recited in the rejected claim(s).

Application/Control Number: 09/612,810

Art Unit: 3671

Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the

Page 3

specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26

USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

The references cited in each rejection of the Last Office Action teaches all the

limitations in the claims, as recited in the Last Office Action.

Therefore, the rejection is seen as proper and is upheld.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Raymond Addie whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to

2:00 pm, 6-8 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached on (703) 308-3870. The fax phone number

for this Group is (703) 305-8623.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-1113.

Thomas B. Will

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600

RWA 10/10/2002